

Balancing Sets of Vectors

Gábor Hegedűs

Óbuda University

October 11, 2016

Abstract

Let n be an arbitrary integer, let p be a prime factor of n . Denote by ω_1 the p^{th} primitive unity root, $\omega_1 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$.

Define $\omega_i := \omega_1^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $B := \{1, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{p-1}\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Denote by $K(n, p)$ the minimum k for which there exist vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k \in B$ such that for any vector $w \in B$, there is an i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $v_i \cdot w = 0$, where $v \cdot w$ is the usual scalar product of v and w .

Gröbner basis methods and linear algebra proof gives the lower bound $K(n, p) \geq n(p-1)$.

Galvin posed the following problem: Let $m = m(n)$ denote the minimal integer such that there exists subsets $A_1, \dots, A_m$ of $\{1, \dots, 4n\}$ with $|A_i| = 2n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, such that for any subset $B \subseteq [4n]$ with $2n$ elements there is at least one i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, with $A_i \cap B$ having n elements. We obtain here the result $m(p) \geq p$ in the case of $p > 3$ primes.

1 Introduction

First we introduce some notations.

⁰2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 13P10, 13F20; Secondary 05D05, 05D99

Key words and phrases. Gröbner bases, polynomial ideals, standard monomials, extremal combinatorics

Let n be an arbitrary positive integer and consider a p prime factor of n . Denote by ω_1 the p^{th} primitive unity root, i.e., let $\omega_1 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$. Define $\omega_i := \omega_1^i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

Throughout the paper $\mathbb{F}$ denotes a fixed field. As usual, $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ denotes the ring of polynomials in variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ over $\mathbb{F}$. We use also the shorter notation $S = \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$.

Let $[n]$ stand for the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For an integer $0 \leq d \leq n$ we denote by $\binom{[n]}{d}$ the family of all d element subsets of $[n]$.

Let $v_F \in \{0, 1\}^n$ denote the characteristic vector of a set $F \subseteq [n]$. For a family of subsets $\mathcal{F} \subseteq 2^{[n]}$, define

$$V(\mathcal{F}) := \{v_F : F \in \mathcal{F}\} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$$

the set of characteristic vectors of the family $\mathcal{F}$.

Let $R(n, d)$ denote the minimal k for which there exist vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ such that for any vector $w \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ there is an i , $1 \leq i \leq k$ such that $|v_i \cdot w| \leq d$, where $v \cdot w$ denotes the usual inner product of two vectors. Since $v \cdot w \equiv n \pmod{2}$ for any two vectors $v, w \in \{-1, 1\}^n$, $R(n, 0)$ is defined only for even n , while $R(n, d)$ for $d \geq 1$ is well-defined for all n . A simple construction of Knuth [12] shows that $R(n, d) \leq \lceil n/(d+1) \rceil$ for $n \equiv d \pmod{2}$, where $\lceil x \rceil$ denotes the least integer which is at least x . In [1] Alon, Bergmann, Coppersmith and Odlyzko showed that this construction is optimal. They used in their proof only elementary linear algebra.

It is possible to generalize this problem and consider balancing families of vectors whose components are p^{th} root of unity for some fixed p . Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.1 *Let n be an arbitrary integer, let p be a prime factor of n . Denote by ω_1 the p^{th} primitive unity root, $\omega_1 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$.*

Define $\omega_i := \omega_1^i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $B := \{1, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{p-1}\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$.

Denote by $K(n, p)$ the minimum k for which there exist vectors $v_1, \dots, v_k \in B$ such that for any vector $w \in B$, there is an i , $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that $v_i \cdot w = 0$, i.e., v is orthogonal with respect to the usual scalar product to w . Then $K(n, p) \geq n(p-1)$. $\square$

We suggest the following plausible conjecture:

Conjecture 1 *Let n be an arbitrary integer, let p be a prime factor of n . Then $K(n, p) = n(p - 1)$.*

We can rephrase the previous balancing vector problem in term of an extremal combinatorial problem for subsets of a set, with an n -dimensional vector $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ corresponding a subset A of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ with $j \in A$ iff $u_j = 1$. Galvin posed a similar problem in this setting. He asked for a determination of the minimal integer $m = m(n)$ such that there exist subsets $A_1, \dots, A_m$ of $\{1, \dots, 4n\}$, $|A_i| = 2n$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$, with the following property: for any subset $B \subseteq [4n]$ with $2n$ elements there is at least one i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, with $A_i \cap B$ having n elements.

Galvin noticed that if one defines $A_i = \{i, i + 1, \dots, i + 2n - 1\}$ for $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, then it is easy to verify that these A_i have the right property, so $m(n) \leq 2n$.

We obtain the following Theorem with an other application of Gröbner basis methods and linear algebra.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $p > 3$ be a prime. Then $m(p) \geq p$.*

The organisation of this article is the following:

In Section 2 we define Gröbner bases and standard monomials in polynomial rings. In Section 3 we prove our main method giving a general lower bound for the degree of a polynomial via standard monomials. In Section 4 we determine the standard monomials of combinatorially interesting finite subsets. In Section 5 we prove our main results.

2 Gröbner bases and standard monomials

We recall now some basic facts concerning Gröbner bases in polynomial rings. A total order $\prec$ on the monomials Mon of the polynomial ring is a *term order*, if 1 is the minimal element of $\prec$, and $uw \prec vw$ holds for any monomials u, v, w with $u \prec v$. We define now two interesting term orders: the lexicographic (lex) and the deglex term orders. Let $u = x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ and $v = x_1^{j_1} x_2^{j_2} \cdots x_n^{j_n}$ be two monomials. Then u is smaller than v with respect to lex ($u \prec_{\text{lex}} v$ in notation) iff $i_k < j_k$ holds for the smallest index k such that $i_k \neq j_k$. Similarly, u is smaller than v with respect to deglex ($u \prec_{\text{deg}} v$ in notation) iff either $\deg u < \deg v$, or $\deg u = \deg v$ and $u \prec_{\text{lex}} v$. Note that we have $x_n \prec x_{n-1} \prec \dots \prec x_1$, for both lex and deglex. Clearly the deglex $\prec_{\text{deg}}$ order

is a degree-compatible term order (this means that $\deg u < \deg v$ implies $u \prec v$).

The *leading monomial* $\text{lm}(f)$ of a nonzero polynomial $f \in S$ is the largest (with respect to $\prec$) monomial which appears with nonzero coefficient in f when written as a linear combination of different monomials. The *initial ideal* $\text{in}(I)$ of an ideal I is the set of all leading monomials $\text{lm}(f)$: $\text{in}(I) = \{\text{lm}(f) : f \in I\}$.

Let I be an ideal of S . A finite subset $\mathcal{G} \subseteq I$ is a *Gröbner basis* of I if for every $f \in I$ there exists a $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\text{lm}(g)$ divides $\text{lm}(f)$. In other words, the leading monomials of the polynomials from $\mathcal{G}$ generate the semigroup ideal of monomials $\text{in}(I)$. It follows from the fact that $\prec$ is a well founded order, that $\mathcal{G}$ is actually a basis of I , i.e., $\mathcal{G}$ generates I as an ideal of S . We can prove easily (cf. [6, Chapter 1, Corollary 3.12] or [2, Corollary 1.6.5, Theorem 1.9.1]) that every nonzero ideal I of S has a Gröbner basis.

A Gröbner basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$ of I is *reduced* if the coefficient of $\text{lm}(g_i)$ is 1, and no nonzero monomial in g_i is divisible by any $\text{lm}(g_j)$, $j \neq i$. By a theorem of Buchberger ([2, Theorem 1.8.7]) a nonzero ideal has a unique reduced Gröbner basis.

A monomial $w \in S$ is called a *standard monomial for I* if it is not a leading monomial of any $f \in I$. Let $\text{Sm}(\prec, I)$ stand for the set of all standard monomials of I with respect to the term-order $\prec$ over $\mathbb{F}$. Using the definition and existence of Gröbner bases (see [6, Chapter 1, Section 4]) we can prove easily that for a nonzero ideal I the set $\text{Sm}(\prec, I)$ is a basis of the $\mathbb{F}$ -vector-space S/I . More precisely, every $g \in S$ can be written uniquely as $g = h + f$ where $f \in I$ and h is a unique $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combination of monomials from $\text{Sm}(\prec, I)$. We say that the polynomial h is the *reduction* of the polynomial g via the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ of the ideal I .

In general *reduction* means that we repeatedly replace monomials in f by smaller ones (with respect to $\prec$). The procedure is the following: if monomial w occurs in f and $\text{lm}(g)$ divides w for some $g \in \mathcal{G}$, then we replace w in f with $w - \frac{w}{\text{lm}(g)} \cdot g$. Obviously the monomials in $w - \frac{w}{\text{lm}(g)} \cdot g$ are $\prec$ -smaller than w .

For $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$, $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ we put

$$\text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F}) := \text{Sm}(\prec, I(\mathcal{F})),$$

where

$$I(\mathcal{F}) := \{f \in S : f(v) = 0 \text{ whenever } v \in \mathcal{F}\}.$$

It is clear that $\text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F})$ is downward closed, i.e., if $u \in \text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F})$ and w divides u , then $w \in \text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F})$.

Also, the standard monomials for $I(\mathcal{F})$ form a basis of the functions from $\mathcal{F}$ to $\mathbb{F}$, hence

$$|\text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F})| = |\mathcal{F}|. \quad (1)$$

3 The method

First we prove a general condition which gives a lower bound for the degree of a polynomial.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field and $P(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be an arbitrary polynomial.*

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ denote an arbitrary finite subset of the affine space such that $\mathcal{F} \neq \mathbb{F}^n$ and let $\underline{h} \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}$. We put $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{F} \cup \{\underline{h}\}$.

Suppose that $P(\underline{h}) \neq 0$ and $P(\underline{f}) = 0$ for each $\underline{f} \in \mathcal{F}$. Let

$$y \in \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{T}) \setminus \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{F}).$$

Then $\deg(P) \geq \deg(y)$.

Proof.

Write $\mathcal{G}$ for the deglex Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(\mathcal{T})$. We denote by $\overline{P}$ the reduction of P via the Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$. Then $\deg(\overline{P}) \leq \deg(P)$, because in the process of reduction we replaced each monomial of P with such monomials which have smaller degree. Clearly $\overline{P}(\underline{h}) = P(\underline{h}) \neq 0$, $\overline{P}(\underline{f}) = P(\underline{f}) = 0$ for each $\underline{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, because we reduced P with such polynomials which vanish on $\mathcal{T}$.

We can expand $\overline{P}$ into the unique form

$$\overline{P} = \sum_{m \in \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{T})} \alpha_m \cdot m, \quad (2)$$

where $\alpha_m \in \mathbb{F}$. It is enough to prove that $\alpha_y \neq 0$, namely then $\deg(\overline{P}) \geq \deg(y)$.

Suppose indirectly, that $\alpha_y = 0$. Since $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{T}$, thus $\text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{F}) \subseteq \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{T})$ and $\text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{T}) \setminus \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{F}) = \{y\}$. Therefore the equation

(2) yields to the following expansion:

$$\overline{P} = \sum_{m \in \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{F})} \alpha_m \cdot m, \quad (3)$$

and since $\overline{P}(\underline{f}) = 0$ for each $\underline{f} \in \mathcal{F}$, hence $\alpha_m = 0$ for each $m \in \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, \mathcal{F})$. But then $\overline{P} \equiv 0$ as functions mapping $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathbb{F}$, which gives a contradiction with $\overline{P}(\underline{h}) \neq 0$. $\square$

J. Farr and S. Gao proved in Lemma 2.2 of [8] the following.

Lemma 3.2 *Suppose that $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis for the ideal $I(\mathcal{F})$, where $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ is a finite set of points. For a point $\underline{h} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \notin \mathcal{F}$, let g_i denote the polynomial in $\mathcal{G}$ with smallest leading term such that $g_i(\underline{h}) \neq 0$, and define*

$$\overline{g}_j := g_j - \frac{g_j(\underline{h})}{g_i(\underline{h})} \cdot g_i, \quad j \neq i, \quad \text{and} \quad (4)$$

$$g_{ik} := (x_k - a_k) \cdot g_i, \quad 1 \leq k \leq n. \quad (5)$$

Then

$$\overline{\mathcal{G}} = \{\overline{g}_1, \dots, \overline{g}_{i-1}, \overline{g}_{i+1}, \dots, \overline{g}_s, g_{i1}, \dots, g_{in}\} \quad (6)$$

constitutes a Gröbner basis for the ideal $I(\mathcal{F} \cup \{\underline{h}\})$.

Corollary 3.3 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field and $\prec$ be an arbitrary term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ stand for an arbitrary finite subset. Let $\underline{h} \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary vector and define $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{F} \cup \{\underline{h}\}$.*

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ stand for the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to the term order $\prec$.

Suppose that $m_1 \prec \dots \prec m_k$, where $m_i := \text{lm}_\prec(g_i)$. Consider

$$i := \min\{j \in [k] : g_j(\underline{h}) \neq 0\}.$$

Then $\text{Sm}(\mathcal{T}, \prec) = \text{Sm}(\mathcal{F}, \prec) \cup \{m_i\}$.

Proof.

This Corollary is obvious from Lemma 3.2. Namely

$$|\text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{T})| = |\text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{F})| + 1,$$

therefore it is enough to prove that $m_i \in \text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{T})$.

Indirectly, suppose that $m_i \notin \text{Sm}(\prec, \mathcal{T})$. This means that there exists a polynomial $g \in \overline{\mathcal{G}}$ such that $\text{lm}(g)$ divides m_i . Clearly if $j < i$, then $\text{lm}(\overline{g_j}) = \text{lm}(g_j) = m_j$. Similarly, if $j > i$, then $\text{lm}(\overline{g_j}) = \max(\text{lm}(g_j), \text{lm}(g_i)) = \text{lm}(g_j) = m_j$.

Since $\mathcal{G}$ was a reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(\mathcal{F})$, hence $\text{lm}(g_j) = m_j$ does not divide m_i for each $j \neq i$. Since

$$\text{lm}(g_{il}) = x_l \cdot \text{lm}(g_i) = x_l \cdot m_i,$$

thus $\text{lm}(g_{il})$ does not divide also m_i for each $1 \leq k \leq n$, which gives a contradiction. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary field and $\prec$ be an arbitrary term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ stand for an arbitrary finite subset. Let $\underline{h} \in \mathbb{F}^n \setminus \mathcal{F}$ be an arbitrary vector and put $\mathcal{T} := \mathcal{F} \cup \{\underline{h}\}$.*

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_s\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ stand for the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(\mathcal{F})$ with respect to the term order $\prec$.

Let $\chi_{\underline{h}} : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ denote the characteristic function of $\underline{h}$, i.e., $\chi_{\underline{h}}(\underline{h}) = 1$ and $\chi_{\underline{h}}(\underline{f}) = 0$ for each $\underline{f} \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$\chi_{\underline{h}} \equiv \frac{1}{g_i(\underline{h})} \cdot g_i \tag{7}$$

gives an expansion of $\chi_{\underline{h}}$ into the unique linear combination of standard monomials of the ideal $I(\mathcal{T})$.

$\square$

4 Standard monomials

Let n be an arbitrary integer, let p be a prime factor of n . Denote by ω_1 the p^{th} primitive unity root, i.e., let $\omega_1 := e^{\frac{2\pi i}{p}}$. Define $\omega_i := \omega_1^i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$. Write $B := \{1, \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{p-1}\}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and

$$D := \{x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{u_n} : 0 \leq u_i \leq p-1 \text{ for each } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Let $B_j := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in B : t_1 \cdot \dots \cdot t_n = \omega_j\}$ for each $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. First we characterize the standard monomials and the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(B_0) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ with respect to any $\prec$ term order.

Consider the following equivalence relation $\equiv$ on D :

let the monomials $x^u = x_1^{u_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{u_n}$ and $x^v = x_1^{v_1} \cdot \dots \cdot x_n^{v_n}$ be equivalent via $\equiv$ iff there exists a k , $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ such that $u_i + k \equiv v_i \pmod{p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Denote by $D/\equiv$ the set of equivalence classes of D with respect to $\equiv$ and write $[a] := \{b \in D : b \equiv a\}$ for the equivalence class of $a \in D$. It is easy to verify that $|[a]| = p$ for each equivalence classes $[a] \in D/\equiv$, therefore $|D/\equiv| = p^{n-1}$.

Let $\prec$ be a fixed term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Denote by $\text{Min}(\prec)$ the set of monomials u of D such that there exists an equivalence class $[a] \in D/\equiv$ for which u is the minimal element of $[a]$ with respect to the term order $\prec$. Clearly $|\text{Min}(\prec)| = p^{n-1}$.

Lemma 4.1 *Let $[b] \in D/\equiv$ be an arbitrary equivalence class. Let a denote the minimal element of $[b]$ with respect to the term order $\prec$ and suppose that $b \neq a$. Then there exists an $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ such that the polynomial $b - \omega_m \cdot a \in I(B_j)$, where $0 \leq j \leq p-1$.*

Proof.

Let $b := x^u$ and $a := x^v$. By the definition of the equivalence relation $\equiv$, $x^u \equiv x^v$ iff there exists a k , $0 \leq k \leq p-1$ such that $u_i + k \equiv v_i \pmod{p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. This means that x^u is the reduction of the monomial $x^v \cdot (x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n)^k$ via the polynomials $x_l^p - 1$, where $1 \leq l \leq n$. Since $B_j \subseteq B$ and $x_l^p - 1 \in I(B)$ for each $1 \leq l \leq n$, hence $x_l^p - 1 \in I(B_j)$, and $x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n - \omega_j \in I(B_j)$ by the definition of B_j , therefore $x^u(b) = \omega^{k \cdot j} x^v(b)$ for each $b \in B_j$. This gives that $x^u - \omega^{k \cdot j} x^v \in I(B_j)$. $\square$

Proposition 4.2 *Let $\prec$ be an arbitrary term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then $\text{Sm}(\prec, B_i) = \text{Min}(\prec)$ for each $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.*

Proof. Clearly

$$|\text{Sm}(\prec, B_i)| = |B_i| = p^{n-1} = |\text{Min}(\prec)|.$$

If $b = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n} \notin D$, then $b \in \text{in}(I(B_i))$. Namely there exists an index t , $1 \leq t \leq n$ such that $u_t \geq p$. Let c denote the reduction of b via $x_t^p - 1$. Clearly $c \neq b$, and $b - c \in I(B) \subseteq I(B_i)$.

Therefore it is enough to show that for each $b \in D \setminus \text{Min}(\prec)$ there exists a polynomial $g_b \in I(B_i)$ such that $\text{lm}_\prec(g_b) = b$. Consider the equivalence class $[b] \in D/\equiv$ and let $a \in D$ denote the minimal element of this equivalence class with respect to the term order $\prec$. By Lemma 4.1 there exists an $0 \leq m \leq p-1$ such that the polynomial $g_b := b - \omega_m \cdot a \in I(B_i)$. Since $b \notin \text{Min}(\prec)$, therefore $b \neq a$. It follows from the definition of a that $\text{lm}_\prec(g_b) = b$. $\square$

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\prec$ be an arbitrary term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$. Then the following set of polynomials constitute a reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(B_0)$ with respect to the term order $\prec$:*

$$\mathcal{G} := \{b - a : a \text{ is the minimal element of } [b], b \neq a, [b] \in D/\equiv\} \\ \cup \{x_i^p - 1 : 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

Proof. To show that $\mathcal{G}$ is a Gröbner basis of $I(B_0)$ it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{G} \subseteq I(B_0)$ and there exists a polynomial $g \in \mathcal{G}$ for each $f \in I(B_0)$ such that $\text{lm}(g)$ divides $\text{lm}(f)$.

The containment $\mathcal{G} \subseteq I(B_0)$ follows from Lemma 4.1.

Let $f \in I(B_0)$ be an arbitrary polynomial. Then $b := \text{lm}(f) \notin \text{Sm}(\prec, B_0) = \text{Min}(\prec)$ by Proposition 4.2. If $b = x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n} \notin D$, then there exists an index $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $u_i \geq p$. Then clearly $\text{lm}(x_i^p - 1) = x_i^p$ divides u .

If $b \in D \setminus \text{Min}(\prec)$, then let a denote the minimal element of the equivalence class $[b]$. Then $g_b := b - a$ gives our statement.

It is obvious from Proposition 4.2 that the leading terms of the polynomials in $\mathcal{G}$ constitute the minimal generating set of the initial ideal of $I(B_0)$. Reducedness follows from the fact that all non-leading monomials in these polynomials are actually standard monomials for $I(B_0)$ by Proposition 4.2. $\square$

We prove the following easy consequence of the characterization of standard monomials:

Proposition 4.4 *Let $\prec$ be an arbitrary degree-compatible term order. Then*

$$\{x^u \in D : \deg(x^u) < \frac{n(p-1)}{p}\} \subseteq \text{Sm}(B_t, \prec) \quad (8)$$

for every $0 \leq t \leq p-1$.

Proof.

Let $0 \leq t \leq p-1$ be fixed and let $x^u = b_0 \in D$ be an arbitrary monomial and we denote by b_k the reduction of $x^u \cdot (x_1 \cdots x_n)^k / \omega^{k \cdot t}$ via the equations $x_i^p - 1$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, for each $0 \leq k \leq p-1$. Suppose that $\deg(b_0) < \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$. Then by Proposition 4.2 it is enough to prove that

$$\deg(b_i) > \deg(b_0) \quad (9)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$, because $\prec$ was a degree-compatible term order, thus (9) means that b_0 is the minimal element of the equivalence class $[b_0]$.

We may suppose without lost of generality that

$$b_0 = x_1^{p-1} \cdots x_{\lambda_1}^{p-1} x_{\lambda_1+1}^{p-2} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}^{p-2} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{p-2}+1}^1 \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{p-2}+\lambda_{p-1}}^1,$$

where $n = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_p$.

Then

$$\deg(b_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (p-j) \cdot \lambda_j < \frac{n(p-1)}{p}. \quad (10)$$

It is easy to verify from the definition of b_i that

$$b_i = x_1^{i-1} \cdots x_{\lambda_1}^{i-1} x_{\lambda_1+1}^{i-2} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\lambda_2}^{i-2} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_i+1}^{p-1} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{i+1}}^{p-1} \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_{p-1}+1}^i \cdots x_{\lambda_1+\dots+\lambda_p}^i.$$

Then

$$\deg(b_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (i-j) \cdot \lambda_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} (p-j) \cdot \lambda_{i+j}.$$

Therefore it is enough to prove that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (p-j) \cdot \lambda_j < \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (i-j) \cdot \lambda_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p-i} (p-j) \cdot \lambda_{i+j}.$$

This inequality is equivalent with

$$(p-i)\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j\right) < i\left(\sum_{j=i+1}^p \lambda_j\right) \quad (11)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$.

It is easy to verify that the inequality (11) is equivalent with

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j\right)(p(p-i) - (p-1)) < \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^p \lambda_j\right) \frac{i}{p-i} (p(p-i) - (p-1)). \quad (12)$$

But $n = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_p$, hence from (10) we get

$$\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} (p-j) \cdot \lambda_j < \frac{p-1}{p} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j\right). \quad (13)$$

After some rearrangement of the inequality (13) we find that

$$\lambda_1(p-1)^2 + \dots + \lambda_i(p(p-i) - (p-1)) < \lambda_{i+1}(ip - (p-1)^2) + \dots + \lambda_{p-1}(-1) + (p-1)\lambda_p. \quad (14)$$

Now it is easy to verify that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j\right)(p(p-i) - (p-1)) \leq \lambda_1(p-1)^2 + \dots + \lambda_i(p(p-i) - (p-1)). \quad (15)$$

From (14) and (15) we conclude that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^i \lambda_j\right)(p(p-i) - (p-1)) < \lambda_{i+1}(ip - (p-1)^2) + \dots + (-1)\lambda_{p-1} + \lambda_p(p-1). \quad (16)$$

But since

$$(p-i)(p-1) \leq i(p(p-i) - (p-1))$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq p-1$ and $jp - (p-1)^2 < 0$ for each $i+1 \leq j \leq p-1$, hence we get

$$\lambda_{i+1}(ip - (p-1)^2) + \dots + \lambda_{p-1}(-1) + \lambda_p(p-1) < \frac{i}{p-i} (p(p-i) - (p-1)) \left(\sum_{j=i+1}^{p-1} \lambda_j + \lambda_p\right) \quad (17)$$

and the inequality (12) follows from (16) and (17). □

Corollary 4.5 *Let $0 \leq t \leq p-1$ be an integer and let $\underline{q} \in B \setminus B_t$ be an arbitrary vector. Define $Q := B_t \cup \{\underline{q}\}$ and consider $y \in \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, Q) \setminus \text{Sm}(\prec_{deg}, B_t)$. Then $\deg(y) \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$.*

Proof.

Clearly $\text{Sm}(Q, \prec_{deg}) \subseteq D$, hence $y \in D \setminus \text{Sm}(B_t, \prec_{deg})$. Since by Proposition 4.4 $\{x^u \in D : \deg(x^u) < \frac{n(p-1)}{p}\} \subseteq \text{Sm}(B_0, \prec)$, this means that $D \setminus \text{Sm}(B_t, \prec_{deg}) \subseteq D \setminus \{x^u \in D : \deg(x^u) < \frac{n(p-1)}{p}\} = \{x^u \in D : \deg(x^u) \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{p}\}$. □

Now we characterize the standard monomials and the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(V(\binom{[4p]}{2p})) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_{4p}]$, where p is an arbitrary prime.

Let n, t be integers such that $0 < t \leq n/2$. We define $\mathcal{H}_t$ as the set of those subsets $\{s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_t\}$ of $[n]$ for which t is the smallest index j with $s_j < 2j$.

We get $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{\{1\}\}$, $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{\{2, 3\}\}$, and $\mathcal{H}_3 = \{\{2, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}$. It is clear that if $\{s_1 < \dots < s_t\} \in \mathcal{H}_t$, then $s_t = 2t - 1$, moreover $s_{t-1} = 2t - 2$ if $t > 1$.

For a subset $J \subseteq [n]$ and an integer $0 \leq i \leq |J|$ we denote by $\sigma_{J,i}$ the i^{th} elementary symmetric polynomial of the variables x_j , $j \in J$:

$$\sigma_{J,i} := \sum_{T \subseteq J, |T|=i} x_T \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Specifically, we have $\sigma_{J,0} = 1$.

Now let $0 < t \leq n/2$, $0 \leq d \leq n$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_t$. Put $H' = H \cup \{2t, 2t+1, \dots, n\} \subseteq [n]$. We write

$$f_{H,d} = f_{H,d}(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \sum_{k=0}^t (-1)^{t-k} \binom{d-k}{t-k} \sigma_{H',k}.$$

For example, we have $f_{\{1\},d} = x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n - d$, and

$$f_{\{2,3\},d} = \sigma_{U,2} - (d-1)\sigma_{U,1} + \binom{d}{2},$$

where $U = \{2, 3, \dots, n\}$.

Let $\mathcal{D}_d$ denote the collection of subsets x_U , where $U = \{u_1 < \dots < u_{d+1}\}$ and $u_j \geq 2j$ holds for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

The following statement was proved in [11].

Proposition 4.6 *Assume that $0 < t \leq n/2$, $H \in \mathcal{H}_t$ and $0 \leq d \leq n$.*

- (a) *The degree of $f_{H,d}$ is t , $\text{lm}(f_{H,d}) = x_H$, and the leading coefficient is 1.*
- (b) *If $D \subseteq [n]$, $|D| = d$, then $f_{H,d}(v_D) = 0$.*

In Theorem 1.2 of [11] we determined the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(V \binom{[n]}{d})$.

Theorem 4.7 *Let $0 \leq d \leq n/2$ be integers and $V := V \binom{[n]}{d}$. Let $\mathbb{F}$ denote an arbitrary field and let $\prec$ be an arbitrary term order on the monomials of $\mathbb{F}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ for which $x_n \prec \dots \prec x_1$. The following set of polynomials*

$$\mathcal{G} = \{x_2^2 - x_2, \dots, x_n^2 - x_n\} \cup \{x_J : J \in \mathcal{D}_d\} \cup$$

$$\cup \{f_{H,d} : H \in \mathcal{H}_t \text{ for some } 0 < t \leq d\}$$

constitutes the reduced Gröbner basis of the ideal $I(V)$ with respect to $\prec$.

□

Let p denote an arbitrary prime.

Proposition 4.8 *Let $V := V \binom{[4p]}{2p} \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{4p} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{4p}$ and let $C \in \binom{[4p]}{3p}$ be an arbitrary subset. Define $Q := V \cup \{\underline{v}_C\}$. Let $y \in \text{Sm}(Q, \prec_{\text{deg}}) \setminus \text{Sm}(V, \prec_{\text{deg}})$. Then $\text{deg}(y) \geq p$.* □

Proof.

For $0 < t < p$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}_t$ we define $g_H \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_{4p}]$ as the modulo p reduction of the polynomial (with integer coefficients) $f_{H,2p}$. By Proposition 4.6 (a) the degree of g_H is t and the leading term of g_H is x_H .

By Proposition 3.3 and Theorem 4.7 it is enough to prove that

$$g_H(\underline{v}_C) = 0 \quad (18)$$

for each $H \in \mathcal{H}_t$, where $0 < t < p$.

Consider the complete p -uniform family

$$\mathcal{F}(p) = \{K \subseteq [4p] : |K| \equiv 0 \pmod{p}\}. \quad (19)$$

The following Lemma follows from the Vandermonde identity ([10], pp. 169-170).

Lemma 4.9 *Let p a prime. Let x, j be integers, $0 \leq j < p$. Then*

$$\binom{x+p}{j} \equiv \binom{x}{j} \pmod{p}.$$

□

Now let $D \in \mathcal{F}(p)$ and write $\underline{v} = \underline{v}_D$. Then $|D| = k'$ for some k' such that $0 \leq k' \leq 4p$ and $k' \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. We observe that $f_{H,2p} \equiv f_{H,k'} \pmod{p}$, i.e., the coefficients of the two polynomials are the same modulo p . Namely, for $0 \leq i \leq t$ we have

$$\binom{2p-i}{t-i} \equiv \binom{k'-i}{t-i} \pmod{p},$$

where we used Lemma 4.9 and $0 \leq t-i \leq p-1$.

We conclude that

$$g_H(\underline{v}) \equiv f_{H,2p}(\underline{v}) \equiv f_{H,k'}(\underline{v}) = 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Here the last equality is a consequence of Lemma 4.6 (b). Since $C \in \mathcal{F}(p)$, therefore $g_H(\underline{v}_C) = 0$, which was to be proved. □

5 Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1.2: Let $A_1, \dots, A_{m(p)} \subseteq \binom{[4p]}{2p}$ denote the subsets of $[4p]$ such that for any subset $B \in \binom{[4p]}{2p}$ there exists at least one i , $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$ with $|A_i \cap B| = p$. We denote by $\underline{v}_C$ the characteristic vector of an arbitrary set $C \subseteq [4p]$. Let $\underline{v}_i := \underline{v}_{A_i}$. Consider the following polynomial:

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_{4p}) := \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} \underline{x} \cdot \underline{v}_i \in \mathbb{F}_p[x_1, \dots, x_{4p}],$$

where the central dot denotes the usual scalar product of $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\underline{v}_i$.

If $B \in \binom{[4p]}{2p}$ is an arbitrary subset, then the previous property of the sets $A_1, \dots, A_{m(p)}$ implies that

$$F(\underline{v}_B) = \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} \underline{v}_B \cdot \underline{v}_i = \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} |A_i \cap B| \equiv \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} |A_i \cap B| - p = 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (20)$$

Proposition 5.1 *There exists a subset $C \in \binom{[4p]}{3p}$ such that*

$$|C \cap A_i| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p} \quad (21)$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$.

Proof.

Let $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$ be a fixed index and consider the set system

$$\mathcal{T}_i := \left\{ T \in \binom{[4p]}{3p} : |T \cap A_i| \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

Clearly it is enough to prove that

$$|\cup_{i=1}^{m(p)} \mathcal{T}_i| < \binom{4p}{p}, \quad (22)$$

because then any subset from $\binom{[4p]}{3p} \setminus \cup_{i=1}^{m(p)} \mathcal{T}_i$ satisfies the condition (21). But

$$|\cup_{i=1}^{m(p)} \mathcal{T}_i| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m(p)} |\mathcal{T}_i| \leq m(p) \cdot \max_i |\mathcal{T}_i| \leq 2p \max_i |\mathcal{T}_i|,$$

because $m(p) \leq 2p$.

It is easy to verify that

$$\{T \in \binom{[4p]}{3p} : |T \cap A_i| = p\} \cup \{T \in \binom{[4p]}{3p} : |T \cap A_i| = 2p\} \quad (23)$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set $\mathcal{T}_i$. Since $A_i \in \binom{[4p]}{2p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$, hence

$$|\{T \in \binom{[4p]}{3p} : |T \cap A_i| = p\}| = |\{T \in \binom{[4p]}{3p} : |T \cap A_i| = 2p\}| = \binom{2p}{p}. \quad (24)$$

Therefore $|\mathcal{T}_i| = 2 \cdot \binom{2p}{p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$. This implies that

$$2p \max_i |\mathcal{T}_i| = 4p \binom{2p}{p} < \binom{4p}{p}, \quad (25)$$

if $p > 3$. □

Using Proposition 5.1, let $C \in \binom{[4p]}{3p}$ denote a fixed subset such that $|C \cap A_i| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m(p)$. Then clearly

$$F(\underline{v}_C) = \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} \underline{v}_C \cdot \underline{v}_i = \prod_{i=1}^{m(p)} |A_i \cap C| \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}. \quad (26)$$

Apply Theorem 3.1 with the choices $\mathcal{F} := V\left(\binom{[4p]}{2p}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p^{4p}$ and $\underline{h} := \underline{v}_C \in \mathbb{F}_p^{4p}$.

Define $\mathcal{T} := V\left(\binom{[4p]}{2p}\right) \cup \underline{v}_C$ and let

$$y \in \text{Sm}(\mathcal{T}, \prec_{deg}) \setminus \text{Sm}\left(V\left(\binom{[4p]}{2p}\right), \prec_{deg}\right)$$

denote the unique monomial from this difference. We proved in Theorem 3.1 that $\deg(F) \geq \deg(y)$. Then $\deg(y) \geq p$ follows from Proposition 4.8. This means that $m(p) \geq \deg(F) \geq p$, which was to be proved. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1: Let $\omega_0 := 1$. Denote by

$$B_i := \{\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in B : x_1 \cdot \dots \cdot x_n = \omega_i\} \subseteq B \quad (27)$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq p-1$. Let $B_p := B_0$.

Let $T \subseteq B$ stand for an arbitrary set of vectors of B such that for every vector $\underline{u} \in B$ there exists a $\underline{t} \in T$, with $\underline{u} \cdot \underline{t} = 0$.

We must show that $|T| \geq n(p-1)$. Define $T_i := T \cap B_i$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-1$, then clearly

$$T = T_0 \cup \dots \cup T_{p-1}$$

gives a disjoint decomposition of the set T .

Consider the following polynomials in $\underline{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$:

$$P_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) := \prod_{\underline{v}=(v_1, \dots, v_n) \in T_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n v_i x_i \right) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n],$$

for each $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.

Then clearly $\deg(P_i) \leq |T_i|$, therefore it is enough to prove that $\deg(P_i) \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$, because then $|T| = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} |T_i| \geq n(p-1)$.

Lemma 5.2 *Let $\underline{y}, \underline{z} \in B$ be arbitrary vectors. If $\underline{y} \cdot \underline{z} = 0$ and $\underline{y} \in B_i$, then $\underline{z} \in B_{p-i}$ for each $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.*

Proof. Let $\underline{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ and $\underline{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$. Then the numbers $y_1 z_1, \dots, y_n z_n$ are p^{th} roots of unity. Suppose that these numbers give a corresponding permutation of $\lambda_0 \omega_0$'s, ..., $\lambda_{p-1} \omega_{p-1}$'s. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_i = \lambda_0 \omega_0 + \dots + \lambda_{p-1} \omega_{p-1} = 0$$

and since $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \omega_i = 0$, we get

$$(\lambda_0 - \lambda_{p-1})\omega_0 + \dots + (\lambda_{p-2} - \lambda_{p-1})\omega_{p-2} = 0.$$

Indirectly, suppose that there exists an $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ such that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_{p-1}$. This means that there exists a polynomial $f \in \mathbb{Q}[y]$ such that $f(\omega_1) = 0$ and $\deg(f) \leq p-2$, which gives a contradiction.

Therefore $\lambda_0 = \dots = \lambda_{p-1} = \frac{n}{p}$. Consider the product $A := \prod_{i=1}^n (y_i \cdot z_i)$. The previous argument gives that $A = (1 \cdot \omega_1 \cdot \dots \cdot \omega_{p-1})^{\frac{n}{p}} = 1$ and $A = \prod_{i=1}^n y_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n z_i = \omega_i \cdot \prod_{i=1}^n z_i$, because $\underline{y} \in B_i$. Consequently $\underline{z} \in B_{p-i}$ $\square$

We prove that $P_i(\underline{z}) = 0$ for every $\underline{z} \in B_{p-i}$.

Let $\underline{z} \in B_{p-i} \subseteq B$ be an arbitrary vector. Then there exists a $\underline{t} \in T \subseteq B$ such that $\underline{z} \cdot \underline{t} = 0$. But Lemma 5.2 implies that $\underline{t} \in B_i$. Hence $\underline{t} \in B_i \cap T = T_i$, which means that $P_i(\underline{z}) = \prod_{\underline{v} \in T_i} (\underline{v} \cdot \underline{z}) = 0$.

Let $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, $j \neq p-i$ be an arbitrary, but fixed index and let $\underline{q} \in B_j$ be an arbitrary vector. Then $P_i(\underline{q}) \neq 0$, because $\underline{t} \cdot \underline{q} \neq 0$ for every $\underline{t} \in T_i = B_i \cap T$ by Lemma 5.2.

Apply Theorem 3.1 with the choices $\mathcal{F} := B_{p-i} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\underline{h} := \underline{q}$. Define $\mathcal{T} := B_{p-i} \cup \{\underline{q}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider the monomial

$$y \in \text{Sm}(\mathcal{T}, \prec_{deg}) \setminus \text{Sm}(B_{p-i}, \prec_{deg}).$$

We proved in Theorem 3.1 that $\deg(P_i) \geq \deg(y)$. By Corollary 4.5 $\deg(y) \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$, i.e., $\deg(P_i) \geq \frac{n(p-1)}{p}$, which was to be proved. $\square$

Acknowledgements. The author is grateful to Lajos Rónyai for his useful remarks.

References

- [1] N. Alon, E. E. Bergmann, D. Coppersmith, A. M. Odlyzko, Balancing sets of vectors, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* vol. IT-34, 128–130, 1988
- [2] W. W. Adams, P. Lounstaunau, *An Introduction to Gröbner Bases*, American Mathematical Society, 1994.
- [3] R.P. Anstee, L. Rónyai, A. Sali, Shattering news, *Graphs and Combinatorics* **18** (2002), 59–73.
- [4] L. Babai, P. Frankl, *Linear algebra methods in combinatorics*, September 1992.
- [5] T. Becker and V. Weispfenning, *Gröbner bases - a computational approach to commutative algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1993.
- [6] A. M. Cohen, H. Cuypers, H. Sterk (eds.), *Some Tapas of Computer Algebra*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1999.

- [7] H. Enomota, P. Frankl, N. Ito, K. Nomura, Codes with given distances, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **3** 25–38, (1987)
- [8] J. Farr, S. Gao, Computing Gröbner basis for vanishing ideal of finite set of points, preprint, 2003.
- [9] P. Frankl, V. Rödl, Forbidden intersections, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **300** 259–286, (1987)
- [10] R.L. Graham, D.E. Knuth, O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, Addison-Wesley, 1989.
- [11] G. Hegedűs, L. Rónyai, Gröbner bases for complete uniform families, *J. of Algebraic Combinatorics* **17**(2003), 171–180.
- [12] D.E. Knuth, Efficient balanced codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* vol. IT-32, 51–53, 1986.